

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Southern District of Florida

United States of America)	
v.)	
JOE NATHAN PYATT, JR.,)	Case No. 22-cr-20138-Cooke
)	
<hr/> Defendant)	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I – Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ☒ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☒ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II – Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

- ☐ **A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)** (*previous violator*): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
- ☐ **(1)** the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
 - ☐ **(a)** a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; **or**
 - ☐ **(b)** an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; **or**
 - ☐ **(c)** an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); **or**
 - ☐ **(d)** any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; **or**
 - ☐ **(e)** any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
 - (i)** a minor victim; **(ii)** the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); **(iii)** any other dangerous weapon; or **(iv)** a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; **and**
 - ☐ **(2)** the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; **and**
 - ☐ **(3)** the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; **and**
 - ☐ **(4)** a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

- ☐ **B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)** (*narcotics, firearm, other offenses*): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
- ☐ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
 - ☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
 - ☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
 - ☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; **or**
 - ☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

☐ **C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above**

- ☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.

OR

- ☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.

Part III – Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

- ☒ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
- ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

- ☒ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
- ☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
- ☒ Prior criminal history
- ☒ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
- ☒ History of violence or use of weapons
- ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
- ☐ Lack of stable employment
- ☐ Lack of stable residence
- ☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
- ☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
- ☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
- ☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration

- ☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
- ☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
- ☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
- ☐ Background information unknown or unverified
- ☒ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Joe Nathan Pyatt, Jr. (the “Defendant”) is charged by complaint with transmitting a threat to injure in interstate commerce, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c). On March 31, 2022, the Court held a hearing pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3142(f)(1)(A) and (2)(A) to determine whether the Defendant should be detained prior to trial. For the reasons stated at the hearing, which are incorporated into this Order, the Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will ensure the safety of the community and, on that basis, hereby orders the Defendant’s pre-trial detention.

The government moved for detention on the bases of danger to the community and risk of flight, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3142(f)(1) and (2), respectively. A finding that a defendant is a danger to the community must be supported by clear and convincing evidence, and a finding that a defendant is a risk of flight must be supported by a preponderance of evidence.

Based on the record before it, the Court finds that the government has not established that the Defendant represents a risk of flight. In this regard, the Court notes that the Defendant has two criminal matters pending in Miami-Dade County for which he has consistently reported when called to do so.

With respect to dangerousness, however, the Court finds that the government has shown, by clear and convincing evidence, that the Defendant presents a danger to the community. Both the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the Defendant weigh in favor of detention. In November of 2021, the FBI spoke with Defendant about a Twitter post where he stated he was going to “take out” members of the FBI. Defendant was cautioned against continuing to engage in such conduct. Nevertheless, after that meeting, Defendant sent messages to the FBI that included statements suggesting members of the FBI had a “death wish” and telling the FBI that the punishment for treason is death. Most recently, on March 24, 2022, Defendant posted a tweet stating “@FBIMiamiFl Stay the fuck out of my life before I start shooting at you!” The very next day, Defendant appeared at the offices of FBI Miami.

Moreover, Defendant engaged in the foregoing conduct while on bond in two state matters. One of those matters charges Defendant with carrying a concealed weapon and the second charges him with issuing a written threat to kill a member of the Miami Beach Police Department, as well as that individual’s family. The nature of those cases, including the fact that the charge for carrying a concealed weapon initiated as a charge for discharging a firearm in public, further counsels in favor of detention.

For these reasons, the Court finds, by clear and convincing evidence, that no bond condition or combination of conditions can reasonably assure the safety of the community in this case.

Part IV – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General’s designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: 4.2.2022



United States Magistrate Judge